### const-correctness in C++

### Matthias Kretz

Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies Institute for Computer Science Goethe University Frankfurt

2015-04-01







### Where can you use const?

- variables (global, local, member)
- function parameters
- member functions
- type aliases (typedef, using)

# Acknowledgements

### My sources for inspiration:

- https://isocpp.org/wiki/faq/const-correctness
- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Const-correctness
- http: //www.cprogramming.com/tutorial/const\_correctness.html

Matthias Kretz (compeng.uni-frankfurt.de) 2015-04-01

It's part of the type!

```
is_same<int, const int>::value == false
is_same<int, const int&>::value == false
is_same<int*, const int*>::value == false
is_same<const int*, int const*>::value == true
is_same<int*, int* const>::value == false
is_same<int, int&>::value == false
is_same<int, volatile int>::value == false
is_same<const int, volatile int>::value == false
is_same<const int, const volatile int>::value == false
```

# Function Arguments allow for Conversions

Given the function f(const int &), are the following calls valid?

- const int n = 1; f(n); OK: takes the address of n to pass a reference to const int&.
- f(1); OK: Compiler generates an anonymous constant 1 in memory.
- int n = 1; f(n); OK: int& is implicitly converted to const int&. A const-ref to a non-const variable is always fine.

# Digression: constexpr

- constexpr is a new keyword since C++11
- short for: constant expression
- use it for constants that can be evaluated at compile time
- template arguments must be constant expressions
- no storage & linkage requirements unless the address of a constexpr "variable" is taken

### Tell me what you see:

```
• const int a;
 error: missing initialization
```

```
• const int b = 1;
 normal constant, consider constexpr int b = 1; instead
```

• int c = b; copy value to a non-const variable

```
• int &d = b;
  error: non-const reference to immutable variable
```

• const int &e = c; immutable reference to c (which may still be modified)

"If a program calls for the default initialization of an object of a const-qualified type T, T shall be a class type with a user-provided default constructor."

Matthias Kretz (compeng.uni-frankfurt.de) 2015-04-01

## const. Member Functions

```
struct A {
 void f();
                  // (1)
 void f() const; // (2)
};
A a;
const A c;
  • a.f() calls (1)
```

The function overloads match on the this pointer. Consider that the compiler actually emits the functions void A::f(A \*this) and void A::f(const A \*this) for A::f.

• c.f() calls (2)

...besides modifying the type

- const builtin types cannot be assigned to
- non-const implicitly converts to const
- const cannot implicitly convert to non-const
- better: only const\_cast can cast away const get rid of C-casts! (-Wold-style-cast)
- Note, you can cast away const!
- You can write struct X { void operator=(T) const; }; .
   And thus have a const X variable that is assignable.
- As so often, you can use good to create bad ...

Why const if there's no quarantee that it stays const?

Matthias Kretz (compeng.uni-frankfurt.de) 2015-04-01

Matthias Kretz (compeng.uni-frankfurt.de) 2015-04-01

1

What did the C++ designers intend when they conceived const? const means logically constant, not physically constant.

physically constant: the bits in memory/registers do not change logically constant: the observable state of an object/variable does not change

The class interface designer is responsible for correctly implementing *logically constant* semantics.

# An Example

functions.

```
class A {
   double x = 1.;
   public:
   double value() const { return x; }
   void setValue(double xx) { x = xx; }
   double transformed() const { return expensiveFunction(x); }
};
```

We need to cover one more. What does mutable do?

mutable makes member variables mutable in const member

- This interface is const-correct:
  - A::value and A::transformed keep the state constant
  - A::setValue modifies the state
- Consider a typical use pattern of zero or many calls to
   A::transformed

zero better never evaluate expensiveFunction
many better evaluate expensiveFunction only once
 per new x

# An Example cont.

```
1 class A2 {
     double x = 1.;
     static constexpr double dirty_value =
         std::numeric_limits<double>::infinity();
     double cached = dirty value;
  public:
     double value() const { return x; }
     void setValue(double xx) {
       x = xx;
       cached = dirty_value;
10
11
     double transformed() /* not const! */ {
12
       if (cached == dirty_value) {
13
         cached = expensiveFunction(x);
14
15
       return cached;
16
17
18 };
```

Matthias Kretz (compeng.uni-frankfurt.de) 2015-04-01

### Solutions

- const cast
- mutable

Always prefer mutable over const\_cast!

# An Example cont..

- The interface of A2 is not const-correct!
- A2::transformed does not change the observable state ⇒ it should be const.
- A2::transformed requires callers to to use a non-const object.
  - ⇒ removal of const from other logically constant functions (Which might even appear physically constant in their implementation)

### Solutions?

Matthias Kretz (compeng.uni-frankfurt.de) 2015-04-01

14

# An Example cont...

```
1 class A3 {
     double x = 1.;
     static constexpr double dirty_value =
         std::numeric_limits<double>::infinity();
     mutable double cached = dirty value;
   public:
     double value() const { return x; }
     void setValue(double xx) {
       x = xx;
       cached = dirty_value;
10
11
     double transformed() const {
                                         // keeps logical state
12
       if (cached == dirty_value) {
13
         cached = expensiveFunction(x); // modifies physical state
14
15
       return cached;
16
17
18 };
```

13

# What does the interface of A3 tell you? const implicitly documents the interface

- That A3::transformed is const says:
  - repeated calls to A3::transformed return the same value
- That A3::value is const says:
  - interleaving calls to A3::value does not change A3::transformed
- That A3::setValue is not const says:
  - after the call the state of the object has changed
  - return values of member functions may change as a result

However, the compiler cannot rely on this for optimization. Consider global variables, mutable, and const\_cast ...

# **Takeaways**

- 1 const means logically constant.
- 2 Decide on constness of member functions based on logical state.
- 3 Use const to document interfaces.
- 4 Use const to make your interfaces harder/impossible to use incorrectly.
- **5** Design const-correct code from the beginning of the project.
- **6** Use constexpr for constants that can be evaluated at compile time.

### A different talk should add:

- onst member functions need to be thread-safe.
- 8 mutable member variable access needs to be atomic.